

**THOUGHTS ON
THE PUBLIC INPUT PROCESS**

1. In general the Public Input Process validated the work of the Advisory Committee. The areas of agreement and the areas of differing perspective, as outlined in the *AC View*, were largely echoed by the public. The public was able to provide fine-tuning on various technical points, add some additional issues to be considered and add perspective on regional issues. Thinking about the public input, describe any surprises or new thoughts that should be flagged.

2. DWR has created a “Parking Lot” for issues that should be addressed as part of the Plan but for which additional work is needed. Looking at the “Parking Lot,” discuss items you think should be added or subtracted.

**THOUGHTS ON
THE PUBLIC INPUT PROCESS**

1. In general the Public Input Process validated the work of the Advisory Committee. The areas of agreement and the areas of differing perspective, as outlined in the *AC View*, were largely echoed by the public. The public was able to provide fine-tuning on various technical points, add some additional issues to be considered and add perspective on regional issues. Thinking about the public input, describe any surprises or new thoughts that should be flagged.

2. DWR has created a “Parking Lot” for issues that should be addressed as part of the Plan but for which additional work is needed. Looking at the “Parking Lot,” discuss items you think should be added or subtracted.